Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/844,673	THEKKATH, RADHIKA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. Derek Rutten	2192
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>Allowable</u>
(1) <u>J. Derek Rutten</u> .	(3)	
(2) William S. Galliani, Reg. No. 33,885.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2006	Time: <u>6:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 11, 21, and 22		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a sate record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/844,673

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After identifying allowable subject matter, the examiner contacted Mr. Galliani to propose an examiner's amendment in order to move the case to allowance. Mr. Galliani suggested and the examiner agreed to amend the independent claims 1, 11, 21, and 22 to include the limitation of a "configurable synchronization period corresponding to trace memory size".